arXiv:1610.00565v1 [math.AC] 3 Oct 2016

[1]

2-ABSORBING AND STRONGLY 2-ABSORBING SECONDARY SUBMODULES OF MODULES

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY AND F. FARSHADIFAR

Abstract. In this paper, we will introduce the concept of 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) secondary submodules of modules over a commutative ring as a generalization of secondary modules and investigate some basic properties of these classes of modules.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and $\mathbb{Z}$ will denote the ring of integers.

Let M be an R-module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in P$, we have $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [13]. Let N be a proper submodule of M. Then the *M-radical* of N, denoted by $M\text{-}rad(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N. If M has no prime submodule containing N, then the M-radical of N is defined to be M [16]. A non-zero submodule S of M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the homomorphism $S \xrightarrow{a} S$ is either surjective or zero [20]. In this case $Ann_R(S)$ is a prime ideal of R.

The notion of 2- absorbing ideals as a generalization of prime ideals was introduced and studied in [8]. A proper ideal I of R is a *2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. It has been proved that I is a 2-absorbing ideal of R if and only if whenever I_1, I_2, and I_3 are ideals of R with $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$, then $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$ or $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$ or $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ [8]. In [9], the authors introduced the concept of 2-absorbing primary ideal which is a generalization of primary ideal. A proper ideal I of R is called a *2-absorbing primary ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$.

The notion of 2-absorbing ideals was extended to 2-absorbing submodules in [12]. A proper submodule N of M is called a *2-absorbing submodule* of M if whenever $abm \in N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.

In [5], the present authors introduced the dual notion of 2-absorbing submodules (that is, *2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodules*) of M and investigated some properties of these classes of modules. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *2-absorbing second submodule of M* if whenever $a, b \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M, and $abN \subseteq L$, then $aN \subseteq L$ or $bN \subseteq L$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *strongly*

[1]This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 94130048)

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C13, 13C99.

Key words and phrases. Secondary, 2-absorbing secondary, strongly 2-absorbing secondary, and second radical.

THIS ARTICLE WAS ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION IN LE MATEMATICHE.

2-absorbing second submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, K is a submodule of M, and $abN \subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$.

In [18], the authors introduced the notion of 2-absorbing primary submodules as a generalization of 2-absorbing primary ideals of rings and studied some properties of this class of modules. A proper submodule N of M is said to be a *2-absorbing primary submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$, and $abm \in N$, then $am \in M\text{-}rad(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-}rad(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concepts of 2-absorbing and strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of an R-module M as dual notion of 2-absorbing primary submodules and obtain some related results.

2. Main results

Let M be an R-module. For a submodule N of M the the *second radical* (or second socle) of N is defined as the sum of all second submodules of M contained in N and it is denoted by $sec(N)$ (or $soc(N)$). In case N does not contain any second submodule, the second radical of N is defined to be (0). $N \neq 0$ is said to be a *second radical submodule of* M if $sec(N) = N$ (see [11] and [2]).

A proper submodule N of M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i\in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of submodules of M, implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. It is easy to see that every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [14].

We frequently use the following basic fact without further comment.

Remark 2.1. Let N and K be two submodules of an R-module M. To prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a non-zero submodule N of an R-module M is a *2-absorbing secondary submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M and $abN \subseteq L$, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$. By a *2-absorbing secondary module*, we mean a module which is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of itself.

Example 2.3. Clearly, every submodule of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ is not secondary. But as $sec(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, every submodule of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ is 2-absorbing secondary.

Lemma 2.4. Let I be an ideal of R and N be a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M. If $a \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M, and $IaN \subseteq L$, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $Ia \in Ann_R(N)$.

Proof. Let $a(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$ and $Ia \notin Ann_R(N)$. Then there exists $b \in I$ such that $abN \neq 0$. Now as N is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M, $baN \subseteq L$ implies that $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. We show that $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. To see this, let c be an arbitrary element of I. Then $(b + c)aN \subseteq L$. Hence, either $(b + c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $(b + c)a \in Ann_R(N)$. If $(b + c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$, then since $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ we have $c(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. If $(b + c)a \in Ann_R(N)$, then $ca \notin Ann_R(N)$. Thus $caN \subseteq L$ implies that $c(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. Hence, we conclude that $I(sec(N) \subseteq L$. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and N be a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of an R-module M. If L is a completely irreducible submodule of M and $IJN \subseteq L$, then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$.*

Proof. Let $I(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$ and $J(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$. We show that $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Assume that $c \in I$ and $d \in J$. By assumption, there exists $a \in I$ such that $a(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$ but $aJN \subseteq L$. Now Lemma 2.4 shows that $aJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ and so $(I \setminus (L :_R sec(N)))J \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Similarly, there exists $b \in (J \setminus (L :_R sec(N)))$ such that $Ib \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ and also $I(J \setminus (L :_R sec(N))) \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Thus we have $ab \in Ann_R(N)$, $ad \in Ann_R(N)$, and $cb \in Ann_R(N)$. As $a + c \in I$ and $b + d \in J$, we have $(a + c)(b + d)N \subseteq L$. Therefore, $(a + c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $(b + d)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $(a + c)(b + d) \in Ann_R(N)$. If $(a + c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$, then $c(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$. Hence $c \in I \setminus (L :_R sec(N))$ which implies that $cd \in Ann_R(N)$. Similarly, if $(b + d)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$, we can deduce that $cd \in Ann_R(N)$. Finally if $(a+c)(b+d) \in Ann_R(N)$, then $ab+ad+cb+cd \in Ann_R(N)$ so that $cd \in Ann_R(N)$. Therefore, $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R-module M. The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *If $abN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ for some $a, b \in R$ and completely irreducible submodules L_1, L_2 of M, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$;*

(b) *If $IJN \subseteq K$ for some ideals I, J of R and a submodule K of M, then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ or $IJ \in Ann_R(N)$;*

(c) *For each $a, b \in R$, we have $a(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $abN = 0$.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. Assume that $IJN \subseteq K$ for some ideals I, J of R, a submodule K of M, and $IJ \not\subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Then by Theorem 2.5, for all completely irreducible submodules L of M with $K \subseteq L$ either $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. If $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ (resp. $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L$) for all completely irreducible submodules L of M with $K \subseteq L$, we are done. Now suppose that L_1 and L_2 are two completely irreducible submodules of M with $K \subseteq L_1$, $K \subseteq L_2$, $I(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L_1$, and $J(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L_2$. Then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_2$ and $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1$. Since $IJN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$, we have either $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$. If $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$, then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1$ which is a contradiction. Similarly from $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ we get a contradiction.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. This is clear

$(a) \Rightarrow (c)$. By part (a), $N \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in R$. Then $abN \subseteq abN$ implies that $a(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $abN = 0$.

$(c) \Rightarrow (a)$. This is clear. □

Definition 2.7. We say that a non-zero submodule N of an R-module M is a *strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule* of M if satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.6. By a *strongly 2-absorbing secondary module*, we mean a module which is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of itself.

Let N be a submodule of an R-module M. Then part (d) of Theorem 2.6 shows that N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary module.

Example 2.8. Clearly every strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule. But the converse is not true in general. For example, consider $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Then M is a 2-absorbing secondary

module. But since $0 \neq 6M \subseteq 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, $sec(M) = M$, $2M \nsubseteq 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, and $3M \nsubseteq 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, M is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary module.

Proposition 2.9. Let N be a 2-absorbing second submodule of an R-module M. Then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$. Then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$ by assumption. Thus $a(sec(N)) \subseteq aN \subseteq K$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq aN \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$, as required. □

The following example shows that the converse of the Proposition 2.9 is not true in general.

Example 2.10. Let M be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$. Then as $p^2\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}\rangle \subseteq \langle 1/p + \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, $p\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}\rangle \nsubseteq \langle 1/p + \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, and $p^2\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}\rangle \neq 0$, we have the submodule $\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ is not 2-absorbing second submodule. But $sec(\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}\rangle) = \langle 1/p + \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ implies that $\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.

An R-module M is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$, equivalently, for each submodule N of M, we have $N = (0 :_M Ann_R(N))$ [1].

Theorem 2.11. *Let M be a finitely generated comultiplication R-module. If N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M, then $Ann_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R.*

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in R$ be such that $abc \in Ann_R(N)$, $ac \notin \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$, and $bc \notin \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$. Since by [4, 2.12], $Ann_R(sec(N)) = \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$, there exist completely irreducible submodules L_1 and L_2 of M such that $ac(sec(N)) \nsubseteq L_1$ and $bc(sec(N)) \nsubseteq L_2$. But $abcN = 0 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ implies that $abN \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$. Now as N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M, we have $a(sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$ or $abN = 0$. If $a(sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$ (resp. $b(sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$), then $ac(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1$ (resp. $bc(sec(N)) \subseteq L_2$), a contradiction. Hence $abN = 0$, as needed. □

Theorem 2.12. *Let N be a submodule of a comultiplication R-module M. If $Ann_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R, then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

Proof. Let $abN \subseteq K$ for some $a, b \in R$ and some submodule K of M. As M is a comultiplication module, there exists an ideal I of R such that $K = (0 :_M I)$. Hence $Iab \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ which implies that either $Ia \subseteq \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$ or $Ib \subseteq \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$. If $ab \in Ann_R(N)$, we are done. If $Ia \subseteq \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$, as $\sqrt{Ann_R(N)} \subseteq Ann_R(sec(N))$, we have $Ia(sec(N)) = 0$. This implies that $a(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ because M is a comultiplication module. Similarly, if $Ib \subseteq \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$, we get $b(sec(N)) \subseteq K$. This completes the proof. □

The following example shows that Theorem 2.12 is not satisfied in general.

Example 2.13. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, where $p \neq q$ are two prime numbers. Then M is not a comultiplication $\mathbb{Z}$-module and $Ann_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = 0$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R. But since $0 \neq pqM \subseteq 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, $sec(M) = M$, $pM \nsubseteq 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, and $qM \nsubseteq 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, M is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary module.

In [18, 2.6], it is shown that, if M is a finitely generated multiplication R-module and N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M, then M-$rad(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M. In the following lemma, we see that some of this conditions are redundant.

Lemma 2.14. Let N be a 2-absorbing primary submodule of an R-module M. Then M-$rad(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M.

Proof. This follows from the fact that M-$rad(M$-$rad(N)) = M$-$rad(N)$ by [15, Proposition 2]. □

Proposition 2.15. Let M be an R-module. Then we have the following.

(a) If N is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) secondary submodule of an R-module M, then $sec(N)$ is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodule of M.
(b) If N is a second radical submodule of M, then N is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodule if and only if N is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) secondary submodule.

Proof. (a) This follows from the fact that $sec(sec(N)) = sec(N)$ by [4, 2.1].
(b) This follows from part (a) □

Let N and K be two submodules of an R-module M. The *coproduct* of N and K is defined by $(0 :_M Ann_R(N)Ann_R(K))$ and denoted by $C(NK)$ [6].

Theorem 2.16. *Let N be a submodule of an R-module M such that $sec(N)$ is a second submodule of M. Then we have the following.*

(a) *N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*
(b) *If M is a comultiplication R-module, then $C(N^t)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M for every positive integer $t \geq 1$, where $C(N^t)$ means the coproduct of N, t times.*

Proof. (a) Let $a, b \in R$, K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$, and $b(sec(N)) \not\subseteq K$. Then as $sec(N)$ is a second submodule and $a(sec(N)) \subseteq aN \subseteq (K :_M b)$, we have $a(sec(N)) = 0$ by [3, 2.10]. Thus $a(sec(N)) \subseteq K$, as needed.

(b) Let M be a comultiplication R-module. Then there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$. Thus by [4, 2.1],

$$sec(c(N^t)) = sec((0 :_M I^t)) = sec((0 :_M I)) = sec(N).$$

Now the results follows from to the proof of part (a). □

Theorem 2.17. *Let M be a comultiplication R-module. Then we have the following.*

(a) *If $N_1, N_2, ..., N_n$ are strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of M with the same second radical, then $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*
(b) *If $N_1, N_2, ..., N_n$ are 2-absorbing secondary submodules of M with the same second radical, then $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*
(c) *If N_1 and N_2 are two secondary submodules of M, then N_1+N_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

(d) *If M is finitely generated, N is a submodule of M which possess a secondary representation, and $sec(N) = K_1 + K_2$, where K_1 and K_2 are two minimal submodules of M, then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

Proof. (a) Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$. Thus for each $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, $abN_i \subseteq K$. If there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $a(sec(N_j)) \subseteq K$ or $b(sec(N_j)) \subseteq K$, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ (note that $sec(N) = sec(\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} sec(N_i) = sec(N_i)$ by [11, 2.6]). Otherwise, $abN_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Hence $abN = 0$, as desired.

(b) The proof is similar to the part (a).

(c) As N_1 and N_2 are secondary submodules of M, $Ann_R(N_1)$ and $Ann_R(N_2)$ are primary ideals of R. Hence $Ann_R(N_1 + N_2) = Ann_R(N_1) \cap Ann_R(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [9, 2.4]. Thus by Theorem 2.12, $N_1 + N_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.

(d) Let $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ be a secondary representation. By [4, 2.6], $sec(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} sec(N_i)$. Since $sec(N_i)$'s are second submodules of M by [4, 2.13], we have

$$\{sec(N_1), sec(N_2), ..., sec(N_n)\} = \{K_1, K_2\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that for some $1 \leq t < n$, $\{sec(N_1), ..., sec(N_t)\} = \{K_1\}$ and $\{sec(N_{t+1}), ..., sec(N_n)\} = \{K_2\}$. Set $H_1 := N_1 + ... + N_t$ and $H_2 := N_{t+1} + ...N_n$. By [4, 2.12], H_1 and H_2 are secondary submodules of M. Therefore, by part (c), $N = H_1 + H_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M. □

The following example shows that the direct sum of two strongly 2-absorbing secondary R-modules is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary R-module in general.

Example 2.18. Clearly, the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\mathbb{Z}_6$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ are strongly 2-absorbing secondary $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. Then M is not a strongly 2-absorbing second $\mathbb{Z}$-module. By [3, 2.1], $sec(M) = M$. Thus M is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary $\mathbb{Z}$-module by Proposition 2.15.

Lemma 2.19. Let $f : M \to \acute{M}$ be a monomorphism of R-modules. Then we have the following.

(a) If N is a submodule of M, then $sec(f(N)) = f(sec(N))$.

(b) If $\acute{N}$ is a submodule of $\acute{M}$ such that $\acute{N} \subseteq f(M)$, then $sec(f^{-1}(\acute{N})) = f^{-1}(sec(\acute{N}))$.

Proof. (a) Let $\acute{S}$ be a second submodule of $f(N)$. Then one can see that $f^{-1}(\acute{S})$ is a second submodule of N. Thus $f(f^{-1}(\acute{S})) \subseteq f(sec(N))$. Therefore, $sec(f(N)) \subseteq f(sec(N))$. The reverse inclusion is clear.

(b) Let S be a second submodule of $f^{-1}(\acute{N})$. Then one can see that $f(S)$ is a second submodule of $\acute{N}$. Thus $f^{-1}(S) \subseteq f^{-1}(sec(\acute{N}))$. Therefore, $sec(f^{-1}(\acute{N})) \subseteq f^{-1}(sec(\acute{N}))$. To see the reverse inclusion, let $\acute{S}$ be a second submodule of $\acute{N}$. Then $f^{-1}(\acute{S})$ is a second submodule of $f^{-1}(\acute{N})$. This implies that $f^{-1}(sec(\acute{N})) \subseteq sec(f^{-1}(\acute{N}))$. □

Theorem 2.20. *Let $f : M \to \acute{M}$ be a monomorphism of R-modules. Then we have the following.*

(a) *If N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M, then $f(N)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of $\acute{M}$.*

(b) *If $\acute{N}$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of $\acute{M}$ and $\acute{N} \subseteq f(M)$, then $f^{-1}(\acute{N})$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

Proof. (a) Since $N \neq 0$ and f is a monomorphism, we have $f(N) \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in R$, $\acute{K}$ be a submodule of $\acute{M}$, and $abf(N) \subseteq \acute{K}$. Then $abN \subseteq f^{-1}(\acute{K})$. As N is strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule, $a(sec(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\acute{K})$ or $b(secN)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\acute{K})$ or $abN = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.19 (a),

$$a(sec(f(N))) = a(f(sec(N))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\acute{K})) = f(M) \cap \acute{K} \subseteq \acute{K}$$

or

$$b(sec(f(N))) = b(f(sec(N))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\acute{K})) = f(M) \cap \acute{K} \subseteq \acute{K}$$

or $abf(N) = 0$, as needed.

(b) If $f^{-1}(\acute{N}) = 0$, then $f(M) \cap \acute{N} = f(f^{-1}(\acute{N})) = f(0) = 0$. Thus $\acute{N} = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\acute{N}) \neq 0$. Now let $a, b \in R$, K be a submodule of M, and $abf^{-1}(\acute{N}) \subseteq K$. Then

$$ab\acute{N} = ab(f(M) \cap \acute{N}) = abff^{-1}(\acute{N}) \subseteq f(K).$$

As $\acute{N}$ is strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule, $a(sec(\acute{N}) \subseteq f(K)$ or $b(sec(\acute{N}) \subseteq f(K)$ or $ab\acute{N} = 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.19 (b), $a(sec(f^{-1}(\acute{N}))) = af^{-1}(sec(\acute{N})) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K)) = K$ or $b(sec(f^{-1}(\acute{N}))) = bf^{-1}(sec(\acute{N})) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K)) = K$ or $abf^{-1}(\acute{N}) = 0$, as desired. □

Corollary 2.21. Let M be an R-module and let $N \subseteq K$ be two submodules of M. Then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of K if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.20 by using the natural monomorphism $K \to M$. □

Proposition 2.22. Let M be a cocyclic R-module with minimal submodule K and N be a submodule of M such that $rN \neq K$ for each $r \in R$. If N/K is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M/K, then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and H be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq H$. Then $ab(N/K) \subseteq H/K$ implies that $a(sec(N/K)) \subseteq H/K$ or $b(sec(N/K)) \subseteq H/K$ or $ab(N/K) = 0$. If $ab(N/K) = 0$, then $abN = 0$ because $rN \neq K$ for each $r \in R$. Otherwise, since $a(sec(N))/K \subseteq sec(N/K)$, we have $a(sec(N)) \subseteq H$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq H$ as required. □

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity and M_i be an R_i-module, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is an R-module and each submodule of M is in the form of $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2. In addition, M_i is a comultiplication R_i-module, for $i = 1, 2$ if and only if M is a comultiplication R-module by [19, 2.1].

Lemma 2.23. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 is an R_1-module and M_2 is an R_2-module. If $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a submodule of M, then we have the following.

(a) N is a second submodule of M if and only if $N = S_1 \times 0$ or $N = S_2 \times 0$, where S_1 is a second submodule of N_1 and S_2 is a second submodule of M_2.

(b) $sec(N) = sec(N_1) \times sec(N_2)$.

Proof. (a) This is straightforward.

(b) This follows from part (a). □

Theorem 2.24. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 is a comultiplication R_1-module and M_2 is a comultiplication R_2-module. Then we have the following.*

(a) *If M_1 be a finitely generated R_1-module, then a non-zero submodule K_1 of M_1 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule if and only if $N = K_1 \times 0$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

(b) *If M_2 be a finitely generated R_2-module, then a non-zero submodule K_2 of M_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule if and only if $N = 0 \times K_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

(c) *If K_1 is a secondary submodule of M_1 and K_2 is a secondary submodule of M_2, then $N = K_1 \times K_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M.*

Proof. (a) Let K_1 be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1. Then $Ann_{R_1}(K_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 by Theorem 2.11. Now since $Ann_R(N) = Ann_{R_1}(K_1) \times R_2$, we have $Ann_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [9, 2.23]. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.12. Conversely, let $N = K_1 \times 0$ be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M. Then $Ann_R(N) = Ann_{R_1}(K_1) \times R_2$ is a primary ideal of R by Theorem 2.11. Thus $Ann_{R_1}(K_1)$ is a primary ideal of R_1 by [9, 2.23]. Thus by Theorem 2.12, K_1 be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1.

(b) We have similar arguments as in part (a).

(c) Let K_1 be a secondary submodule of M_1 and K_2 be a secondary submodule of M_2. Then $Ann_{R_1}(K_1)$ and $Ann_{R_2}(K_2)$ are primary ideals of R_1 and R_2, respectively. Now since $Ann_R(N) = Ann_{R_1}(K_1) \times Ann_{R_2}(K_2)$, we have $Ann_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [9, 2.23]. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.12. □

Lemma 2.25. Let N be a submodule of a comultiplication R-module M. Then N is a secondary module if and only if $Ann_R(N)$ be a primary ideal of R.

Proof. The necessity is clear. For converse, let $r \in R$. As M is a comultiplication module, $rN = (0 :_M I)$ for some ideal I of R. Now $rI \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ implies that $I \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ or $r^t \in Ann_R(N)$ for some positive integer t. Thus as M is a comultiplication R-module, $N = rN$ or $r^t N = 0$ for some positive integer t. □

Theorem 2.26. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be a finitely generated comultiplication R-module, where M_1 is an R_1-module and M_2 is an R_2-module. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a non-zero submodule of M. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M;*

(b) *Either $N_1 = 0$ and N_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_2 or $N_2 = 0$ and N_1 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1 or N_1, N_2 are secondary submodules of M_1, M_2, respectively.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$ be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M. Then $Ann_R(N) = Ann_{R_1}(N_1) \times Ann_{R_2}(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal

of R by Theorem 2.11. By [9, 2.23], we have $Ann_{R_1}(N_1) = R_1$ and $Ann_{R_2}(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_2 or $Ann_{R_2}(N_2) = R_2$ and $Ann_{R_1}(N_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 or $Ann_{R_1}(N_1)$ and $Ann_{R_2}(N_2)$ are primary ideals of R_1 and R_2, respectively. Suppose that $Ann_{R_1}(N_1) = R_1$ and $Ann_{R_2}(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_2. Then $N_1 = 0$ and N_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_2 by Theorem 2.12. Similarly, if $Ann_{R_2}(N_2) = R_2$ and $Ann_{R_1}(N_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1, then $N_2 = 0$ and N_1 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1. If the last case hold, then as M_1 (resp. M_2) is a comultiplication R_1 (resp. R_2) module, N_1 (resp. N_2) is a secondary submodule of M_1 (resp. M_2) by Lemma 2.25.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. This follows from Theorem 2.25. □

References

1. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (4) (2007), 1189–1201.
2. ———, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (Spec 1)(2012), 1109-1116.
3. ———, *On the dual notion of prime submodules (II)*, Mediterr. J. Math., **9** (2) (2012), 329-338.
4. ———, *On the dual notion of prime radicals of submodules*, Asian Eur. J. Math. **6** (2) (2013), 1350024 (11 pages).
5. ———, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, submitted.
6. ———, *Product and dual product of submodules*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **25** (3) (2007), 447-455.
7. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, S.S. Pourmortazavi, and F. Khaliphe *On secondary modules*, International Journal of Algebra, **6** (16) (2012), 769-774.
8. A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 417-429.
9. A. Badawi, U. Tekir, and E. Yetkin, *On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (4) (2014), 1163-1173.
10. A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra **71** (1981), 174-178.
11. Ceken, S., Alkan, M., and Smith, P. F. *The dual notion of the prime radical of a module*, J. Algebra **392** (2013), 265-275.
12. A. Y. Darani, and F. Soheilnia, *2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submoduels*, Thai J. Math. **9**(3) (2011), 577584.
13. J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 156–181.
14. L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient filed*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249** (2006), 121–145.
15. C.P. Lu, *M-radicals of submodules in modules*, Math. Japonica, **34** (2) (1989), 211-219.
16. R.L. McCasland and M.E. Moore, *On radicals of submodules of finitely generated modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. **29** (1) (1986), 37-39.
17. H. Mostafanasab, U. Tekir, and K.H. Oral, *Classical 2-absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings*,
18. H. Mostafanasab, E. Yetkin, U. Tekir and A. Yousefian Darani, *On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, (in press).
19. A. Nikseresht and H.Sharif, *On comultiplication and R-multiplication modules*, Journal of algebraic systems **2** (1) (2014), 1-19.
20. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37** (2001), 273–278.
21. ———, *The dual notion of the cyclic modules*, Kobe. J. Math. **15** (1998), 41–46.

Department of pure Mathematics, Faculty of mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, P. O. Box 41335-19141, Rasht, Iran.
E-mail address: ansari@guilan.ac.ir

University of Farhangian, P. O. Box 19396-14464, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail address: f.farshadifar@gmail.com

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.